

F2N8SOKF

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 MARK I. SOKOLOW, et al.,

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

04 CV 397 (GBD)

6 PALESTINE LIBERATION
7 ORGANIZATION, et al.,

8 Defendants.

9 New York, N.Y.
10 February 23, 2015
12:30 p.m.

11 Before:

HON. GEORGE B. DANIELS,

District Judge

12 APPEARANCES

13
14 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP
15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16 BY: KENT A. YALOWITZ
17 PHILIP W. HORTON
18 TAL MACHNES
19 SARA PILDIS
CARMELA T. ROMEO
RACHEL WEISER
LUCY S. McMILLAN

20 MILLER & CHEVALIER, CHARTERED
21 Attorneys for Defendants
22 BY: MARK J. ROCHON
23 LAURA G. FERGUSON
24 BRIAN A. HILL
25 MICHAEL SATIN
DAWN E. MURPHY-JOHNSON

F2N8SOKF

1 (In open court; jury not present)

2 THE COURT: We have a note from the jury indicating
3 they have reached a verdict. We will bring out the jury and
4 take the verdict from the foreperson at this time.

5 (Jury present; time noted: 12:40 p.m.)

6 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we have received
7 your note indicating that you have reached a verdict. I am
8 going to ask my law clerk to take the verdict from the
9 foreperson at this time by reading the questions and having you
10 answer those questions.

11 LAW CLERK: Will the foreperson please rise?

12 Has the jury agreed upon a verdict.

13 FOREPERSON: Yes, we have.

14 THE COURT: Page 1. Jaffa Road shooting.

15 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
16 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the January 22, 2002
17 attack because the PLO knowingly provided material support or
18 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
19 this attack?

20 FOREPERSON: Yes.

21 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
22 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 22,
23 2002 attack because the PA knowingly provided material support
24 or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying
25 out this attack?

F2N8SOKF

1 FOREPERSON: Yes.

2 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
3 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 22,
4 2002 attack because an employee of the PA, acting within the
5 scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of
6 the PA, either carried out, or knowingly provided material
7 support or resources that were used in preparation for or in
8 carrying out, this attack?

9 FOREPERSON: Yes.

10 LAW CLERK: Page 2. Jaffa Road bombing.

11 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
12 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the January 27, 2002
13 attack because the PLO knowingly provided material support or
14 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
15 this attack?

16 FOREPERSON: Yes.

17 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
18 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 27,
19 2002 attack because the PA knowingly provided material support
20 or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying
21 out this attack?

22 FOREPERSON: Yes.

23 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
24 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 27,
25 2002 attack because an employee of the PA, acting within the

F2N8SOKF

1 scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of
2 the PA, either carried out, or knowingly provided material
3 support or resources that were used in preparation for or in
4 carrying out, this attack?

5 FOREPERSON: Yes.

6 LAW CLERK: Page 3. King George Street bombing.

7 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
8 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the March 21, 2002
9 attack because the PLO knowingly provided material support or
10 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
11 this an attack?

12 FOREPERSON: Yes.

13 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
14 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the March 21, 2002
15 attack because the PA knowingly provided material support or
16 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
17 this attack?

18 FOREPERSON: Yes.

19 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
20 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the March 21, 2002
21 attack because an employee of the PA, acting within the scope
22 of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of the
23 PA, either carried out, or knowingly provided material support
24 or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying
25 out, this attack?

F2N8SOKF

1 FOREPERSON: Yes.

2 LAW CLERK: Page 4. French Hill bombing.

3 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
4 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the June 19, 2002
5 attack because the PLO knowingly provided material support or
6 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
7 this attack?

8 FOREPERSON: Yes.

9 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
10 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the June 19, 2002
11 attack because the PA knowingly provided material support or
12 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
13 this attack?

14 FOREPERSON: Yes.

15 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
16 the evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the June 19, 2002
17 attack because the PLO knowingly provided to the Al Aqsa
18 Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a foreign terrorist
19 organization, material support or resources that were used in
20 preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

21 FOREPERSON: Yes.

22 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
23 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the June 19, 2002
24 attack because the PA knowingly provided to the Al Aqsa
25 Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a foreign terrorist

F2N8SOKF

1 organization, material support or resources that were used in
2 preparation or in carrying out this attack?

3 FOREPERSON: Yes.

4 LAW CLERK: Page 5. Hebrew University bombing.

5 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
6 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the July 31, 2002
7 attack because the PLO knowingly provided material support or
8 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
9 this attack?

10 FOREPERSON: Yes.

11 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
12 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the July 31, 2002
13 attack because the PA knowingly provided material support or
14 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
15 this attack?

16 FOREPERSON: Yes.

17 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
18 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the July 31, 2002
19 attack because an employee of the PA, acting within the scope
20 of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of the
21 PA, either carried out, or knowingly provided material support
22 or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying
23 out, this attack?

24 FOREPERSON: Yes.

25 LAW CLERK: Page 6.

F2N8SOKF

1 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
2 evidence that the defendant PLO is liable for the July 31, 2002
3 attack because the PLO knowingly provided to Hamas, after its
4 designation as a foreign terrorist organization, material
5 support or resources that were used in preparation for or in
6 carrying out this attack?

7 FOREPERSON: Yes.

8 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
9 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the July 31, 2002
10 attack because the PA knowingly provided to Hamas, after its
11 designation as a foreign terrorist organization, material
12 support or resources that were used in preparation for or in
13 carrying out this attack?

14 FOREPERSON: Yes.

15 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
16 the evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the July 31, 2002
17 attack because the PLO harbored or concealed a person who the
18 PLO knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or
19 was about to commit this attack?

20 FOREPERSON: Yes.

21 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
22 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the July 31, 2002
23 attack because the PA harbored or concealed a person who the PA
24 knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was
25 about to commit this attack?

F2N8SOKF

1 FOREPERSON: Yes.

2 LAW CLERK: Page 7. Bus No. 19 bombing.

3 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
4 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the January 29, 2004
5 attack because the PLO knowingly provided material support or
6 resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out
7 this attack?

8 FOREPERSON: Yes.

9 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
10 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 29,
11 2004 attack because the PA knowingly provided material support
12 or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying
13 out this attack?

14 FOREPERSON: Yes.

15 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
16 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 29,
17 2004 attack because an employee of the PA, acting within the
18 scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of
19 the PA, either carried out, or knowingly provided material
20 support or resources that were used in preparation for or in
21 carrying out, this attack?

22 FOREPERSON: Yes.

23 LAW CLERK: Page 8.

24 Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the
25 evidence that defendant PLO is liable for the January 29, 2004

F2N8SOKF

1 attack because the PLO knowingly provided the to the Al Aqsa
2 Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a foreign terrorist
3 organization, material support or resources that were used in
4 preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

5 FOREPERSON: Yes.

6 LAW CLERK: Did plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of
7 the evidence that defendant PA is liable for the January 29,
8 2004 attack because the PA knowingly provided to the Al Aqsa
9 Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a foreign terrorist
10 organization, material support or resources that were used in
11 preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

12 FOREPERSON: Yes.

13 LAW CLERK: Page 10. Jaffa Road shooting.

14 What amount of damages, if any, do you award as
15 compensation for plaintiff Elise Gould's injuries that you
16 determine were caused by the January 22, 2002 terrorist attack?

17 FOREPERSON: \$3 million.

18 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
19 plaintiff Ronald Gould?

20 FOREPERSON: \$3 million.

21 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
22 plaintiff Shayna Gould?

23 FOREPERSON: \$20 million.

24 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
25 plaintiff Jessica Rine?

F2N8SOKF

1 FOREPERSON: \$3 million.

2 LAW CLERK: Page 11.

3 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Henna
4 Novack Waldman?

5 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

6 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
7 plaintiff Morris Waldman?

8 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

9 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
10 plaintiff Shmuel Waldman?

11 FOREPERSON: \$7,500,000.

12 LAW CLERK: Page 12.

13 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Elana
14 Sokolow?

15 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

16 LAW CLERK: This is the Jaffa Road bombing.

17 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Jamie
18 Sokolow?

19 FOREPERSON: \$6,500,000.

20 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
21 plaintiff Lauren Sokolow?

22 FOREPERSON: \$5 million.

23 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
24 plaintiff Mark Sokolow?

25 FOREPERSON: \$5 million.

F2N8SOKF

1 LAW CLERK: Page 13.

2 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Rena
3 Sokolow?

4 FOREPERSON: \$7,500,000.

5 LAW CLERK: Page 14. King George Street bombing.

6 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Alan
7 Bauer?

8 FOREPERSON: \$7 million.

9 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
10 plaintiff Binyamin Bauer?

11 FOREPERSON: \$1 million.

12 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
13 plaintiff Daniel Bauer?

14 FOREPERSON: \$1 million.

15 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
16 plaintiff Yehonathon Bauer.

17 FOREPERSON: \$25 million.

18 LAW CLERK: Page 15.

19 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Yehuda
20 Bauer?

21 FOREPERSON: \$1 million.

22 LAW CLERK: Page 16. French Hill bombing.

23 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff
24 Leonard Mandelkorn?

25 FOREPERSON: \$10 million.

F2N8SOKF

1 LAW CLERK: Page 17. Hebrew University bombing.

2 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff

3 Katherine Baker?

4 FOREPERSON: \$6 million.

5 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for

6 plaintiff Benjamin Blutstein?

7 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

8 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for

9 plaintiff Rebekah Blutstein?

10 FOREPERSON: \$4 million.

11 LAW CLERK: Page 18.

12 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff

13 Richard Blutstein?

14 FOREPERSON: \$6 million.

15 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for

16 plaintiff Diane Carter?

17 FOREPERSON: \$1 million.

18 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for

19 plaintiff Larry Carter?

20 FOREPERSON: \$6,500,000.

21 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for

22 plaintiff Shaun Choffel?

23 FOREPERSON: \$1,500,000.

24 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for

25 plaintiff Robert L. Coulter, Jr.?

F2N8SOKF

1 FOREPERSON: \$3 million.

2 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
3 plaintiff Diane Coulter Miller?

4 FOREPERSON: \$3 million.

5 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
6 plaintiff Robert L. Coulter, Sr.?

7 FOREPERSON: \$7,500,000.

8 LAW CLERK: Page 19.

9 What amount, if any, do you award for plaintiff Janis
10 Ruth Coulter?

11 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

12 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
13 plaintiff David Gritz?

14 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

15 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
16 plaintiff Nevenka Gritz?

17 FOREPERSON: \$10 million.

18 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
19 plaintiff Nevenka Gritz as successor to Norman Gritz?

20 FOREPERSON: \$2,500,000.

21 LAW CLERK: Page 20.

22 Bus No. 19 bombing.

23 What amount, if any, do you award to plaintiff Chana
24 Goldberg?

25 FOREPERSON: \$8 million.

F2N8SOKF

1 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award to
2 plaintiff Eliezer Goldberg?

3 FOREPERSON: \$4 million.

4 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award to
5 plaintiff Esther Goldberg?

6 FOREPERSON: \$8 million.

7 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award for
8 plaintiff Karen Goldberg?

9 FOREPERSON: \$13 million.

10 LAW CLERK: Page 21.

11 What amount, if any, do you award to plaintiff
12 Shoshana Goldberg?

13 FOREPERSON: \$4 million.

14 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award to
15 plaintiff Tzvi Goldberg?

16 FOREPERSON: \$2 million.

17 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award to
18 plaintiff Yaakov Goldberg?

19 FOREPERSON: \$2 million.

20 LAW CLERK: What amount, if any, do you award to
21 plaintiff Yitzhak Goldberg?

22 FOREPERSON: \$6 million.

23 THE COURT: Poll the jury, please.

24 (Jury polled; each juror answered in the affirmative)

25 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I thank you very

F2N8SOKF

1 much for your service as jurors in this case. I have to tell
2 you that we recognize how serious a responsibility that you
3 have and you had and how seriously you took that
4 responsibility. I know that this was a major disruption of
5 both your personal and your professional lives to serve as
6 jurors on this case.

7 So at this point I am going to discharge you from any
8 further jury service with the thanks of the Court. Thank you
9 very much.

10 (Jury excused)

11 THE COURT: If you intend to submit further motions,
12 just send me a letter within the next 30 days indicating a
13 schedule for that and I will wait to hear from the parties.

14 MR. YALOWITZ: We will give you a form of judgment
15 this afternoon. Would you prefer that by hand or e-mail?

16 THE COURT: Make sure the other side has it first, but
17 either way, you can prepare and send it to me and I will wait
18 to see if there is any response from the other side.

19 MR. ROCHON: In terms of our post verdict 50(b)
20 motion, we would orally ask for an extension of the page limits
21 to 50 pages at this time.

22 MR. YALOWITZ: We oppose that.

23 THE COURT: I will grant you that.

24 MR. ROCHON: Nothing else from us.

25 THE COURT: I will wait to hear from the parties.

F2N8SOKF

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

MR. YALOWITZ: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. ROCHON: Thank you.

(Trial concluded)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

ELISE GOULD, RONALD GOULD, SHAYNA GOULD, JESSICA RINE, HENNA NOVACK WALDMAN, MORRIS WALDMAN, SHMUEL WALDMAN,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA),

Defendants.

----- X

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

LIABILITY

I. JANUARY 22, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD SHOOTING

1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 22, 2002** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES NO

2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 22, 2002** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES NO

3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 22, 2002** attack because an employee of the **PA**, acting within the scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of the **PA**, either carried out, or knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out, this attack?

YES NO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X	
	:
ELANA SOKOLOW, JAMIE SOKOLOW,	:
LAUREN SOKOLOW, MARK SOKOLOW,	:
RENA SOKOLOW,	:
	:
Plaintiffs,	:
	:
v.	:
	:
THE PALESTINE LIBERATION	:
ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE	:
PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA),	:
	:
Defendants.	:
----- X	

Jury Verdict Form
04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

LIABILITY

II. JANUARY 27, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD BOMBING

1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 27, 2002** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 27, 2002** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 27, 2002** attack because an employee of the **PA**, acting within the scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of the **PA**, either carried out, or knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out, this attack?

YES **NO**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X	
	:
ALAN BAUER, BINYAMIN BAUER, DANIEL	:
BAUER, YEHONATHON BAUER, YEHUDA	:
BAUER,	:
	:
Plaintiffs,	:
	:
v.	:
	:
THE PALESTINE LIBERATION	:
ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE	:
PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA),	:
	:
Defendants.	:
----- X	

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

LIABILITY

III. MARCH 21, 2002 – KING GEORGE STREET BOMBING

1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **March 21, 2002** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES NO

2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **March 21, 2002** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES NO

3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **March 21, 2002** attack because an employee of the **PA**, acting within the scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of the **PA**, either carried out, or knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out, this attack?

YES NO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
LEONARD MANDELKORN,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	<u>Jury Verdict Form</u>
	:	
v.	:	04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
	:	
THE PALESTINE LIBERATION	:	
ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE	:	
PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA),	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----	X	

LIABILITY

IV. JUNE 19, 2002 – FRENCH HILL BOMBING

1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **June 19, 2002** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **June 19, 2002** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **June 19, 2002** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided to the al-Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

4. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **June 19, 2002** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided to the al-Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

4. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **July 31, 2002** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided to Hamas, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES NO

5. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **July 31, 2002** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided to Hamas, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES NO

6. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **July 31, 2002** attack because the **PLO** harbored or concealed a person who the **PLO** knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit this attack?

YES NO

7. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **July 31, 2002** attack because the **PA** harbored or concealed a person who the **PA** knew, or had reasonable grounds to believe, committed or was about to commit this attack?

YES NO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
 :
 CHANA GOLDBERG, ELIEZER GOLDBERG, :
 ESTHER GOLDBERG, KAREN GOLDBERG, :
 SHOSHANA GOLDBERG, TZVI GOLDBERG, :
 YAAKOV GOLDBERG, YITZHAK GOLDBERG, :
 :
 Plaintiffs, :
 v. :
 :
 THE PALESTINE LIBERATION :
 ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE PALESTINIAN :
 AUTHORITY (PA), :
 :
 Defendants. :
 ----- X

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

LIABILITY

VI. JANUARY 29, 2004 – BUS NO. 19 BOMBING

1. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 29, 2004** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

2. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 29, 2004** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

3. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 29, 2004** attack because an employee of the **PA**, acting within the scope of his employment and in furtherance of the activities of the **PA**, either carried out, or knowingly provided material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out, this attack?

YES **NO**

4. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PLO** is liable for the **January 29, 2004** attack because the **PLO** knowingly provided to the al-Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

5. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that **Defendant PA** is liable for the **January 29, 2004** attack because the **PA** knowingly provided to the al-Aqsa Martyrs' Brigade, after its designation as a Foreign Terrorist Organization, material support or resources that were used in preparation for or in carrying out this attack?

YES **NO**

IF YOU ANSWERED "YES" IN RESPONSE TO AT LEAST ONE PREVIOUS QUESTION,
PLEASE PROCEED TO ANSWER THE RELATED DAMAGES QUESTIONS BEGINNING
ON PAGE 10. IF YOU ANSWERED "NO" IN RESPONSE TO EVERY PREVIOUS
QUESTION, YOU SHOULD PROCEED NO FURTHER.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	
ELISE GOULD, RONALD GOULD, SHAYNA	:	
GOULD, JESSICA RINE, HENNA NOVACK	:	
WALDMAN, MORRIS WALDMAN, SHMUEL	:	<u>Jury Verdict Form</u>
WALDMAN,	:	
	:	04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
Plaintiffs,	:	
v.	:	
	:	
THE PALESTINE LIBERATION	:	
ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE PALESTINIAN	:	
AUTHORITY (PA),	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
-----	X	

DAMAGES

I. JANUARY 22, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD SHOOTING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Elise Gould's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 3,000,000.00

2. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Ronald Gould's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 3,000,000.00

3. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Shayna Gould's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 20,000,000.00

4. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Jessica Rine's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 3,000,000.00

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Henna Novack Waldman's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$2,500,000.00

6. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Morris Waldman's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$1,500,000.00

7. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Shmuel Waldman's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 22, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$7,500,000.00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X		
ELANA SOKOLOW, JAMIE SOKOLOW,	:	
LAUREN SOKOLOW, MARK SOKOLOW,	:	
RENA SOKOLOW,	:	<u>Jury Verdict Form</u>
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)
v.	:	
	:	
THE PALESTINE LIBERATION	:	
ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE	:	
PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA),	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
----- X		

DAMAGES

II. JANUARY 27, 2002 – JAFFA ROAD BOMBING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Elana Sokolow**'s injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 27, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 2,500,000.00

2. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Jamie Sokolow**'s injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 27, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 6,500,000.00

3. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Lauren Sokolow**'s injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 27, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 5,000,000.00

4. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Mark Sokolow**'s injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 27, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 5,000,000.00

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Rena Sokolow**'s injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 27, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 7,500,000.00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X	
ALAN BAUER, BINYAMIN BAUER, DANIEL	:
BAUER, YEHONATHON BAUER, YEHUDA	:
BAUER,	:
	:
Plaintiffs,	:
	:
v.	:
	:
THE PALESTINE LIBERATION	:
ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE	:
PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA),	:
	:
Defendants.	:
----- X	

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

DAMAGES

III. MARCH 21, 2002 – KING GEORGE STREET BOMBING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Alan Bauer's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **March 21, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 7,000,000.00

2. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Binyamin Bauer's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **March 21, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 1,000,000.00

3. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Daniel Bauer's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **March 21, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 1,000,000.00

4. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Yehonathon Bauer's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **March 21, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 25,000,000.00

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Yehuda Bauer**'s injuries that you determine were caused by the **March 21, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 1,000,000.00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

LEONARD MANDELKORN, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

THE PALESTINE LIBERATION :

ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE :

PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA), :

Defendants. :

----- X

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

DAMAGES

IV. JUNE 19, 2002 – FRENCH HILL BOMBING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff Leonard Mandelkorn’s injuries that you determine were caused by the June 19, 2002 terrorist attack?

\$ 10,000,000.00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
:
KATHERINE BAKER, ESTATE OF BENJAMIN :
BLUTSTEIN, REBEKAH BLUTSTEIN, RICHARD :
BLUTSTEIN, ESTATE OF DIANE CARTER, LARRY :
CARTER, SHAUN CHOFFEL, ROBERT L. :
COULTER JR., DIANE COULTER MILLER, :
ROBERT L. COULTER SR., ESTATE OF JANIS :
RUTH COULTER, ESTATE OF DAVID GRITZ, :
NEVENKA GRITZ (on behalf of herself and as :
successor to NORMAN GRITZ), :

Plaintiffs, :

v. :

THE PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION :
(PLO) and THE PALESTINIAN AUTHORITY (PA), :

Defendants. :
:
----- X

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

DAMAGES

V. JULY 31, 2002 – HEBREW UNIVERSITY BOMBING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Katherine Baker's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 6,000,000.00

2. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Benjamin Blutstein's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 2,500,000.00

3. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Rebekah Blutstein's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 4,000,000.00

4. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Richard Blutstein's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 6,000,000.00

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Diane Carter's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 1,000,000.00

6. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Larry Carter's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 6,500,000.00

7. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Shaun Choffel's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 4,500,000.00

8. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Robert L. Coulter Jr.'s** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 3,000,000.00

9. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Diane Coulter Miller's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 3,000,000.00

10. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Robert L. Coulter Sr.'s** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$ 7,500,000.00

11. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Janis Ruth Coulter's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$2,500,000.00

12. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **David Gritz's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$2,500,000.00

13. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Nevenka Gritz's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$10,000,000.00

14. What amount of damages, if any, do you award to Plaintiff **Nevenka Gritz as successor to Norman Gritz** as compensation for Plaintiff **Norman Gritz's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **July 31, 2002** terrorist attack?

\$2,500,000.00

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X

CHANA GOLDBERG, ELIEZER GOLDBERG, :
 ESTHER GOLDBERG, KAREN GOLDBERG, :
 SHOSHANA GOLDBERG, TZVI GOLDBERG, :
 YAAKOV GOLDBERG, YITZHAK GOLDBERG, :
 :
 Plaintiffs, :
 v. :
 THE PALESTINE LIBERATION :
 ORGANIZATION (PLO) and THE PALESTINIAN :
 AUTHORITY (PA), :
 :
 Defendants. :
 ----- X

Jury Verdict Form

04 Civ. 00397 (GBD)

DAMAGES

VI. JANUARY 29, 2004 – BUS NO. 19 BOMBING

1. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Chana Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 8,000,000.00

2. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Eliezer Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 4,000,000.00

3. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Esther Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 8,000,000.00

4. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Karen Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 13,000,000.00

5. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Shoshana Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 4,000,000.00

6. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Tzvi Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 2,000,000.00

7. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Yaakov Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 1,000,000.00

8. What amount of damages, if any, do you award as compensation for Plaintiff **Yitzhak Goldberg's** injuries that you determine were caused by the **January 29, 2004** terrorist attack?

\$ 6,000,000.00