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**CONTENT**

House Bill 5821 (H-3) would amend the Management and Budget Act to prohibit the Department of Technology, Management, and Budget and all State agencies, beginning October 1, 2017, from entering into a contract with a person to acquire or dispose of supplies, services, or information technology unless the contract included a representation that the person was not currently engaged in, and an agreement that the person would not engage in, the boycott of a person based in or doing business with a strategic partner.

"Strategic partner" would mean a strategic partner described in 22 USC 8601-8606. (Those sections of the United States Code provide for relations between the United States and Israel.)

"Boycott" would mean refusal to have dealings with, divest from, or otherwise engage with a person. The term would not include any of the following:

--- A decision based on bona fide business or economic reasons.
--- A boycott against a public entity of a foreign state when the boycott is applied in a nondiscriminatory manner.
--- Conduct necessary to comply with applicable law in the person's home jurisdiction.

In addition, if consistent with Federal statutes and all other things being equal, the bill would require the Department, in all purchases it made, to give preference to biobased products whose content was sourced in this State. "Biobased product" would mean a product granted the U.S. Department of Agriculture certified biobased product label.

House Bill 5822 (H-1) would amend the Management and Budget Act to prohibit the Department of Technology, Management, and Budget and all State agencies, beginning October 1, 2017, from entering into a contract with a person for the construction, alteration, or repair of a State building or other State property unless the contract included a representation that the person was not currently engaged in, and an agreement that the person would not engage in, the boycott of a person based in or doing business with a strategic partner.

"Strategic partner" and "boycott" would be defined as in House Bill 5821 (H-3).

House Bill 5822 (H-1) is tie-barred to House Bill 5821.

MCL 18.1261 (H.B. 5821)  Proposed MCL 1241c (H.B. 5822)

Legislative Analyst: Suzanne Lowe
**FISCAL IMPACT**

The bills would have an indeterminate fiscal impact on the State. Depending on the options available should a conflict exist according to the proposed changes in statute, the State could incur additional costs by having to contract with an alternative source. Any costs (or potential savings) would depend on the number of contracts that would be affected by the proposed changes.

The bills would have no fiscal impact on local government.

Date Completed: 12-13-16

Fiscal Analyst: Joe Carrasco
PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH PERSONS ENGAGED IN CERTAIN BUSINESS BOYCOTTS

House Bill 5821 as introduced
Sponsor: Rep. Al Pscholka

House Bill 5822 as introduced
Sponsor: Rep. Robert Wittenberg

Committee: Government Operations
Complete to 12-1-16

SUMMARY:

Generally, the bills would prohibit the State of Michigan from contracting with a person engaged in the boycott of a person based in, or doing business with, a jurisdiction with whom this state can enjoy open trade.

“Boycott” is defined in all bills to mean to blacklist, divest from, or otherwise refuse to deal with a person based on race, color, religion, gender, or national origin. Boycott would not include a decision based on business or economic reasons, or the specific conduct of a targeted person; a boycott against a public entity of a foreign state when the boycott is applied in a nondiscriminatory manner; and conduct necessary to comply with applicable law in the person’s home jurisdiction.

“Person” is defined in all bills to mean individual, corporation, company, limited liability company, business association, partnership, society, trust, or any other nongovernmental entity, organization, or group; any governmental entity or agency of a government; and any successor, subunit, parent company, or subsidiary or, or company under common ownership or control with, any entity included in this definition.

“Jurisdiction with which (or whom) this state can enjoy open trade” is defined in all bills to include World Trade Organization members and those with which the United States and Michigan have free trade or other agreements aimed at ensuring open and nondiscriminatory trade relations.

House Bill 5821 would amend the Management and Budget Act (MCL 18.1261) to prohibit the state from contracting with a person to acquire or dispose of supplies, services, or information technology unless the contract affirms that the person is not currently, nor would be in the future, engaged in the boycott of a person based in, or doing business with, a jurisdiction with whom this State can enjoy open trade.

House Bill 5822 would amend the Management and Budget Act by adding a new section that would prohibit the state from contracting with a person for the construction, alteration, or repair of a state building or other state property unless the contract affirms that the person
is not currently, nor would be in the future, engaged in the boycott of a person based in, or doing business with, a jurisdiction with whom this State can enjoy open trade.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

The bills would create contracting restrictions concerning certain entities. Any fiscal impact to the state would depend on the alternative options available if a contract were forgone that would otherwise have been entered into or maintained.

Fiscal Analyst: Ben Gielczyk